



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,130	03/15/2001	Hiroyuki Horiuchi	HIG05 002	4640
7590	03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
Duane Morris LLP 1667 K Street NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20006			DICUS, TAMRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/808,130	HORIUCHI, HIROYUKI
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tamra L. Dicus	1774	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tamra L. Dicus. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Patrick Mulduin. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 05 February 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Umise.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated the final office action has different reasoning than the two advisory actions and asked which reasoning the Examiner would be using in reply when Applicant sends in the appeal brief. The Examiner informed the Applicant the reason different reasoning was used was because the Applicant argued different points. The Examiner inquired about specifically what was different. The Applicant pointed to the last advisory action that stated, "Umise teaches a thermal transfer sheet, but it is used to record images, a functionality which Applicant ignores". The Applicant stated "to record images" was not in the final office action. The Examiner replied that the reason it was not in the final office action was because the Applicant did not argue that point in the final office action and since the Applicant addressed the point of recording images, the Examiner must reply to the allegation. The Examiner further stated that the references of record provide teachings to all limitations Applicant claims. The Applicant stated he did not want an interview to drop the 102(b), 102(e) and 103(a) rejections.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

